

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/900,254

Applicant(s)

Pfeuffer

Examiner

Sam Chuan Yao

Group Art Unit

1733



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sam Chuan Yao

(3) _____

(2) Mr. McCoy Smith

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 7, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner initiated the call. Examiner requested Applicant to explain the key point Applicant trying to impart in the argument set forth in Paper No. 9. Applicant indicated that there is only a single fibrous web being calendered and there is only a single calendering step. Examiner pointed out that the recited claim 1 does not preclude a laminated fibrous web (because of the transitional phrase "comprising") and claim 1 reads on two separate calendering steps. In fact, Examiner pointed out that amending claim 1 to specifically require a single calendering step would raise new issue because the rejection of the pending claims is based on two separate calendering steps. Amending the claims to specifically recite a single calendering step would not be entered because such would require further consideration and/or search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.